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Influence of the gutta-percha taper and finger spreader on lateral condensation effectiveness
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Abstract

Introduction: Lateral condensation effectiveness may be influenced by the gutta-percha and finger spreader taper used during root canal obturation. Objective: To evaluate the penetration ability of finger spreader into simulated root canals prepared using MTtwo rotary system and filled with different gutta-percha and finger spreader tapers. Material and methods: Resin blocks with curved root canals had the apical diameter enlarged up to #25.06 and distributed into groups (n = 6) according to the gutta-percha taper (#25.02, #25.04, and #25.06) and the finger spreader (#30 and #35 NiTi, and stainless steel B) used to perform cold lateral condensation. After applying a load of 1.5 Kg over the finger spreaders’ head, the distance between the finger spread tip and the apical limit of the root canal preparation were obtained. The data were submitted to Anova and Tukey-Krammer’s test, with 5% of significance. Results: The gutta-percha cones with 0.02 taper enabled higher finger spreader penetration when compared to 0.04 and 0.06 tapers (p < 0.05), which were similar between each other (p > 0.05), regardless of the type and diameter of the finger spreader used. When different finger spreaders were compared among themselves, stainless steel B showed higher penetration ability (p < 0.05). Conclusion: It was concluded that the stainless-steel finger spreaders showed superior penetration ability and gutta-percha with lower tapers enabled a more effective lateral condensation at the apical third.
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Introduction

The endodontic therapy aiming at the cleaning, disinfection, shaping and tridimensional obturation of the root canal system. All endodontic treatment stages are interdependently and directly related with treatment success, from the coronal access to the obturation procedure [16]. Among the root canal obturation techniques, active lateral condensation has been the most employed [11, 29] and used standardized gutta-percha points. Single-cone obturation technique is recognized by its simplicity [6] and enables the perfect adjustment of the gutta-percha point to the root canal preparation taper produced by the last instrument used during the shaping procedure. However, the main disadvantage of the single-cone obturation technique is to exhibit the greatest indexes of bacterial infiltration, which consequently compromises endodontic treatment success [17, 20]. Thus, despite requiring a longer execution time than single-cone technique [6] and some thermoplasticized techniques [4, 22], active lateral condensation is still largely employed in root canal obturation due to its low cost, easy execution [6], promotion of apical sealing [1], and safeness regarding to the risk of material extravasation [22]. Ingle [10], in 1955, advocated the standardization of both the endodontic instruments and gutta-percha points. Notwithstanding, taper variations of these materials may occur and result in obturation failure [7].

Even when tapered gutta-percha points are used, the placement of accessory points after active lateral condensation can be necessary in cases showing irregular anatomy of root canal that results in spaces laterally to the main gutta-percha point. However, the greater taper at medium and cervical thirds may make difficult the apical access of the finger spreader and accessory point [20].

Studies of the 1980s [8] demonstrated that root canal preparation through crown-down technique, associated with the use of Gates-Glidden drills at cervical third, allowed the greater penetration of finger spreaders, resulting in a more effective lateral condensation. Currently, greater taper preparations are obtained through rotary instrumentation with nickel-titanium files [28].

During lateral condensation, the force applied onto the spreader may result in crack and/or fracture of the root remnant [13]. Generally, the vertical fracture of the root may occur when it is subjected to loads higher than 1.5 kg [9]. According to the study conducted by Harvey et al. [8], the mean force applied by the endodontists varies from 1 to 3 kg. Many studies [2, 14, 29, 30] demonstrated that nickel-titanium spreaders have greater flexibility than stainless steel ones, and thus, they would be the most indicated instruments for lateral condensation in curved canals. Therefore, this study aimed to evaluate the influence of gutta-percha point taper on the penetration ability of different finger spreaders in curved root canals.

Material and methods

Fifty-four gutta-percha points (VDW, Munich, Germany) with #25.02, #25.04, and #25.06 tapers (n = 18) were selected by measuring the following diameters: apical ($D_0$), at 3 mm ($D_3$), and at 16 mm ($D_{16}$) shorter of the smallest diameter tip (figure 1), with the aid of profilometer (Perfil projector, Nikon, Tokyo, Japan).

![Figure 1](image-url)  
**Figure 1** – Schematic drawing for obtaining the taper measurement of gutta-percha points

$$\text{Tapper (mm)} = \frac{D_3 - D_0 \text{ (mm)}}{\text{Distance between } D_0 \text{ and } D_3 \text{ (mm)}}$$

Inclusion criteria comprised gutta-percha points exhibiting a maximum variation of 0.05 mm below or above the standard measure ($D_0 = 0.25 \text{ mm } \pm 0.05$ for all points; $D_3 = 0.31 \text{ mm } \pm 0.05$ and $D_{16} = 0.57 \text{ mm } \pm 0.05$ for gutta-percha points of 0.02 mm/mm taper; $D_3 = 0.37 \text{ mm } \pm 0.05$ and $D_{16} = 0.89 \text{ mm/mm } \pm 0.05$ for gutta-percha points of 0.04 mm/mm taper; $D_3 = 0.43 \text{ mm } \pm 0.05$ and $D_{16} = 1.21 \text{ mm/mm } \pm 0.05$ for gutta-percha points of 0.06 mm/mm taper). The $D_0$, $D_3$, and $D_{16}$ diameters of size #30.#35 NiTi and stainless-steel B spreaders were also evaluated through the same mathematics formula applied for the gutta-percha points (table I).
Next, three resin blocks with root canals of 30° curvature were instrumented through MTwo rotary system (VDW) up to size #25.06 apical diameter and randomly distributed into three groups according to main gutta-percha point tapers evaluated (n = 18) (0.02, 0.04, and 0.06 mm/mm), which were distributed into three subgroups according to the finger spreaders evaluated (n = 6): size #30NiTi (VDW), size #35NiTi, and stainless-steel B (Dentsply-Maillefer, Petrópolis, RJ, Brazil), as seen in table II.

Table II - Groups division according to main gutta-percha point (GP) and finger spreader used in active lateral condensation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Preparation</th>
<th>size #30 NiTi spreader</th>
<th>size #35 NiTi spreader</th>
<th>Stainless-steel B spreader</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>25 .06 MTwo</td>
<td>0.02 GP</td>
<td>0.02 GP</td>
<td>0.02 GP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 .06 MTwo</td>
<td>0.04 GP</td>
<td>0.04 GP</td>
<td>0.04 GP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 .06 MTwo</td>
<td>0.06 GP</td>
<td>0.06 GP</td>
<td>0.06 GP</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To evaluate the penetration degree of the finger spreaders, firstly both the gutta-percha point and the finger spreader were positioned in an standardized manner inside the resin block. Then, the set was coupled to a metallic device enabling to standardize the position (figure 2) of the sample on the universal testing machine (Emic DL 2000, Emic Equipamentos e Sistemas de Ensaio, São José dos Pinhais, PR, Brazil) (figure 2). The crosshead speed of the testing machine was 5 cm/min, pushing the finger spreader’s head up to reach the load of 1.5 kg, when the machine returned to the initial position.

Following, the distance between the final tip of the instrument and the apical area of the preparation was calculated through the difference of the root canal total length and the level of final linear penetration reached by the spreader. Data were submitted to Anova and Tukey-Krammer tests, with level of significance of 5%.

Results

Figure 3 shows the mean and standard deviation of the distances (in mm) between the spreader tip and the apical preparation of the root canal, for each group, after load application.

Figure 3 – Distance (mm) between the tip of the finger spreader and the end of the apical preparation in 25/.06 preparation of root canals, filled with gutta-percha points of different tapers
Generally, the results showed that 0.02 mm/mm taper gutta-percha points enabled the greatest penetration of the spreaders (p < 0.05) than did the 0.04 and 0.06 mm/mm taper gutta-percha points, which were statistically similar between each other (p > 0.05). Concerning to the finger spreaders, stainless-steel spreader was statistically significant different from NiTi spreaders, when 0.02 mm/mm taper gutta-percha points were employed (p < 0.05). In the specimens using 0.04 and 0.06 mm/mm taper gutta-percha points, both stainless-steel and NiTi finger spreaders did not exhibited statistically significant differences (p > 0.05).

Discussion

Single-cone obturation technique has as main characteristics easy handling and fastness [23]. This technique has been largely employed based on the dimensional characteristics obtained by rotary systems that result in standardized taper preparations [1]. Notwithstanding, even employing tapered gutta-percha points, single-cone technique has shown filling and sealing results lower than those of active lateral condensation and thermoplasticization techniques [1, 17, 20]. In active lateral condensation, the use of accessory gutta-percha points aims at better adaptation of the filling material and decreasing of endodontic sealer amount, which may undergo either dissolution in oral fluids or contraction after setting reaction [21].

Active lateral condensation is still the technique most used in root canal obturation [11, 29]. The force applied on the finger spreader has been very questioned as the possible cause of micro-fractures on tooth structure remnant [9]. The literature has reported the influence of the finger spreader type (metallic alloy and tapering) on the penetration capacity under determined load [2, 14, 29, 30].

The load to be applied onto the finger spreader during lateral condensation is an important factor to be considered [8, 9, 13, 19], once it can lead to the formation of micro-fractures on the tooth structure remnant [8]. Pitts et al. [19] alerted to the possibility that active lateral condensation causes cracks and/or fractures and suggested 5 kg as the safe threshold considering that cracks were observed as of 7.2 kg. Conversely, Holcomb et al. [9] showed that a load above 1.5 kg could be enough to produce cracks and verified that 13% of the samples fractured with a load equal to 3.5 kg. Because of the aforementioned results, we opted by the load of 1.5 kg, which is within the load mean applied by the endodontists during lateral condensation [8].

In this present study, stainless-steel spreader (Dentsply-Maillefer) exhibited higher penetration values than did size #30 and #35 NiTi ones (VDW) considering the same load value (1.5 kg), disagreeing with other authors [2, 14, 29, 30] who attributed the greatest penetration of NiTi finger spreaders to the greatest flexibility when submitted to the same load [2].

The results observed in this present study may be related to both the design and taper of finger spreaders because the higher taper values were shown by stainless-steel spreaders (table I). The taper exhibited by stainless-steel spreaders is higher than that of NiTi ones, which seemed to favor the spreader penetration during lateral condensation. Despite of the smaller taper, NiTi finger spreaders did not penetrate deeper in curved canals, which could be related to their smaller mechanical strength.

As greater taper preparations enable more effective lateral condensation [8], in this present study, we chose to use Mtwo rotary system (VDW) to prepare the root canals inside acrylic blocks because this system has a fixed taper [27] and has demonstrated to be fast and effective in root canal preparation, keeping the original curvature [25, 26], with proper cutting capacity [27].

According to the results observed in this present study, lateral condensation was more effective at apical third of the group employing 0.02 mm/mm taper gutta-percha points associated with stainless-steel B finger spreaders. This fact should be related to the space occupied by greater taper points that make difficult the spreader penetration and consequently active lateral condensation effectiveness.

Thus, the present study demonstrated that the use of gutta-percha points with tapers similar to that of the preparation (0.06) decreased the penetration capacity of finger spreader, hindering active lateral condensation effectiveness.

Further studies are necessary to evaluate the influence of other factors related to root canal preparation, gutta-percha points, and spreaders together with the presence of endodontic sealer.

Conclusion

It was concluded that stainless-steel finger spreaders enabled a greater linear penetration under a same load than did NiTi finger spreaders and that smaller taper gutta-percha points favored lateral condensation at apical level.
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